CONTINUOUS ACTION

U.S. COPYRIGHT ROYALTY JUDGES ORIGINAL WASH D.C.

PGIOF9

DETERMINATION OF ROYALTY RATE TERMS FOR PERFORMANCE OR DIS	SIL DOCK	(ET NO. 16-CRB- 2-PBR(2018-2022)
PICTORIAL, BRAPHIC, 6 SCOLDIDE WORKS BY PUBLIC BROADCASTING ENTITIES (PBIN)	Necesived Jul. 2 7 2016	Fublic Information Office
Coj	yright Royalty	Board COPYRIGHT OFFICE

AN OBJECTION COUNTERMAND VERIFIED MOTION
TO VACATE & OTHER SUASPONTE OMNIBUS MOTION
ORDERED FOR JOINT PROPOSAL, NOTICE OF
SETLEMENT, & STATUS REPORT EX-PARTE

I DAVID POWELL PROSE EX-PARTE MOTION AS ONE OF THE NONSETTLING CLAIMANTS. A TIMELY MANIFEST REVERSIBLE ERROR OBJECTION FOR 2018-2022, BY SETLING PARTIES AS LICENSEES. DAVID POWELL ADVISES JUDGES OF THE EXISTENCE OF A SIGNIFICANT INTEREST IN ALL PROCEEDINGS. AS A MATTER OF RECORD MISSING EVIDENCE RULE AS UNFAVORABLE TO SETTLING PARTIES PROVED UP COUNTERMAND.

I PRO SE DAVID POWELL OWN THE TITLE SCOPYRIGHT

I-MFINITY ESP. 17 OUTRIGHT AS AMATIER OF RECORD.

PRO SE MOTION EXIGENT MONEY DEMAND PAYMENT

ORDERED SUA SPONTE NOW, FUTURE, E PASTARREARS. FOR

40% OF THE STATUTORY RATES ETERMS 2018-2022,

THADE E THIS TRIBUTED BY OR ON DEHALF OF SETTLING PARTIES.

AND FOR ALL OTHER LICENSES AS WELL KNOWN AND

UNKNOWN. ASABONUSE OR ROYALTY PAYMENTS CONTINUOUS

FROM ANY SETTLING CLAIMANTS IN ANY ALL PROCEEDINGS

AS A THATTER OF RECORD. 18USCA CHAPTER 119 SECT. 2510-2522.

RATES ETERMS CANNOT BE MADE WIO ADDRESSING THE DWHERTHILE'S ECOMPULSORYLICENSE VESTED RIGHTS. THE CAUSATION PROOF EXIST OF INTERLOPER CONGRESS CRIMINAL COERCION CAHOOTS WICORPIS. PIERCING THE CORPORATE VIEL LOBBING ACT IZUSCAS 251 ACTIVE CONCEALMENT COMPLICITY WICABLE & SATELLITE PHASEI. IT PERVASIVE UNLAWFUL EXISTED CONDITIONS.

TO BLACKLIST THR. POWELL PERMANETLY
OBSTRUCTION OF JUSTICE. SETTLING PARTIES WHOLDING
UNFAVORABLE EVIDENCE ONGOING. RETILATORY COERCED
COMDUCT BAD FAITH DEALINGS INJURY IN FACT OF ALL
SETTLING PARTIES ESP. BITH TO NO SETTLEMENT & OR
SETTLE UP WIPROSE CLAIMANTS.

PRO SE HAS SHOWN SPOILATION LEGAL PREJUDICE EXASTED WIBMI EXCLUSION OF MR. POWELL IN NOTICE OF LICENSES SETTLEMENT & THEIR STATUS REPORT. PROSE STATUS REPORT PROVEDUP BIMI INC UNLLEGE MISCONDUCT PREDICATED PATTERN. TO SYSTEMATIC BLACKLIST MR. POWELL IN ROYALTY FEES CATEGORIES I, II, III EXCLUSION NEGOTIATED PERIODENDED W/ BMI LETTER 7.1.2016. 75 JOINT TRESPASS SETTLEING PARTIES CAHOOTS WIBMINN THIS PROCEEDINGS FOR ALL OTHER PROCEEDINGS WIBMITME. TO DENY THID EXCLUSION THRE POWELL FROM HIS COMPULSORY LICENSES ROYALTY PAYMENTS. AS COCONSPIRATORS) JOINT LIABILITY SETTLING PARTIES WIBMI INC. THEFT BY DECEPTION COMPLICATY WATHAIN SEDITIONS CONSPIRACY, TO INCLUDE IN CONSPIRACY ALSO BMJ. INC. JOINT PROPOSAL WISETLING PARTIES DATED 7.8.2016, IN RETILATORY PATTERN CONDUCT PROVED UP PERVASIVE.

THUS, CRIMINAL INTENT BAD MOTIVES AGAINST

CLAIMANT ZONE OF INTEREST STANDING THIRD PARTY

STANDING. THR. POWELL ACTUAL INJURY PROTECTED BY

STATUTES & CONSTITUTIONAL GUARANTEES PROVED UP

WILLFUL BLINDNESS NONDISCLOSURE. IN ADDITION, TO

INCLUDE A CLASS-BASED ANIMUS ESSENTIAL ELEMENT OF

CIVIL RIGHTS CONSPIRACY CASE. AS ANREBUTILE OF A PRIMA

FACIE CASE PRESUMPTION WILL ARD-LOOK DOCTRINE IN ALL

PROCEEDINGS WIBITI INC. OPPURERTON BULE COCONSPIRATORS.

CHILLING EFFECTS CONSPIRACY LEGAL PREJUDICE

EXCLUSION SMOKEING GUN IS A MATTER OF RECORD. THE

SWINDLERS PARTICIPATING CORPORATION(S) WILL FUL

COPYRIGHTS CRIMINAL CONTRIDUTORY INFRINGEMENT.

PRO SE CLAIMANT ATTEST TO BMIL INC. ESETTLING

PARTIES TWO OR MORE ALLIED OFFENSES ELEMENTS

OF A CRIME. TO INCLUDE MALL & WHRE FRAUD EXIST.

TO WHICH A COVER UP SUBTERFUGE PLAN TO AVOID COMPLICITY ACTS LIABILITY. AS A RESULT OF BITT. INC, ASCAP, SESAC JOINT TRESPASS STRONG ARM UNDUE INFLUENCES CRIMINAL INTENT. TO WHICH PREDICATED REPEATED ACTS WITH COMPLICE LIABILITY ACTS FOR NONFEASANCE RATTERN FORBEARANCE. DURING ALL PROCEEDINGS VOLUNTARY NEGOTIATING PERIODS WITH REFUSAL TO DEAL BOYCOTTISUSCA \$ 51-7 AGRINST TIRE. POWELL & CIRCLE GOD NETWORK INC. AS CLAUMANTS.

DUE TO SETTING PARTIES ESP. BITH INC NECESSARY

IMPLICATION OF PRICE FIXING REFUSAL TO DEAL. THRU

THEIR AFFIRMATIVE WILL FUL MISCONDUCT CULPABILITY.

TO MISLEAD ROYALTY JUDGES TOWARDS THE SETTING

PARTIES & BITH INC. DESIRED UNLAWFUL CONDITIONS. TO

IMPINGE ON CLAIMANTS IMPROWELL VESTED RIGHTS

IN CIVIL CHAIN SEDITIONS CONSPIRACY FRIMA BACIE W

ALL PROCEEDINGS. DEALING WIME. POWELL COMPULSORY

LICENSE, & OR LICENSOR, EXCLUSIVE LICENSE, LICENSEE,

LICENSUNG INESCRPABLE PERIL IS PERVASIVE.

CLAIMANTS THE POWELL'S CIRCLE GOD NETWORK INC,
STRONGLY CORROBORATED THEIR EVIDENCED. IN THIS STATUS
REPORT AS A BOYCOTTED NONSETTLING CLAIMANTS.
PROVED UP TESTABLISHED PATTERN ACTS ON BOING,
ANTI TRUST CIVIL PROCESS ACT 15 USCASSIBILIET SEQ..

THIS DIRECT INJURY TO CLAIMANTS WINTENT TO EVADETHE LAW. CULPABLE NECESSARY IMPLICATION BITH I THE, STATEGIC ALLIANCES WAS LAP, SEAC, & ALL OTHER PROCESDINGS CANOT CONSPIRACY. TO BLACKLISTED & BOYCOT MIK.

POWELL AND CIRCLE GOD NETWORK INC. BUINAMED CORP'S ABOVE & THEIR LAWYER (S) INCHORTE JOINT OF FENSES REFUSAL TO DEAL. IN THIS & EVERY OTHER PAST & FUTURE VOLUNTARY NEBOTIATION PERIODS. THIS IS A PREDICATED PATTERN OF MR. POWELL INESCAPABLE PERIL AS A CLAIMANT WIS IGNIFICANT INTEREST HOT DOCUMENTS (SEEATT).

THIS VERIFIED OMNIBUS MOTTON SUASPONTE ORDERED FOR PROSE CLAIMANT (S). THIS IS AN EQUITABLE ESTOPPEL IMMEDIATE BREACH WIVEXATIOUS DELAY TO SUCCEED FOR ROYALTY PAYMENTS TO PROSE CLAIMANT (S) BY ALL SETTING PARTIES. IN THIS & ALL OTHER PROCEEDINGS KNOWN & ALL UNKNOWN, ANTECEDENT CLAIMS UCC § 3-303.

THIS IS BASED ON LEGAL PREJUDICE & CRIMINAL INTENT
GROUNDS SHOWN METUS ECONOMIC & MORAL DURESS TO
CLAIMANT (5) VALID CLAIMS PROOF. THUS, BMI INC. AND
SETLING PARTIES GROSS NEGLIBENCE PERSE IS
ESTABLISHED PERMANENTLY. THAT MR. POWELL, CEN INC.
LIBERTY INTEREST IS PROTECTED BY DUE PROCESS. AN
JUDGES MISLED TO UNREASONABLE DECISIONS IS CURED
FOR CLAIMANT (5). BY ADMINISTRATIVE COLLATERAL
ESTOPPEL SUASPONTE ORDERED MOTION BY PROSE.

THAT IS BASED ON BMI INC. & SETTLING PARTIES REFUSAL
TO DEAL CONCERTED ACTIONS LEGAL COLLUSSION PREJUDICE
IS SHOWNED. THAT JUDGES PERFECTY DUTY TO ACT ON A
STATUTORY PURE OBLIGATIONS & IMMEDIATELY
ENFORCED ROYALTY PAYMENTS FEES TO CLAIMANT(S).

DUE TO THE POWELL ECIRCLE GOD HETWORK INC.
BURSTING BUBBLE THEORY HAVE REBUT CONTRADICTED
BY CREDIBLE EXIDENCE CRIMINAL INTENT. THE ROYALTY
JUDGES MUST REPORT UNLAWFUL CONDITIONS OF
POSITIVE & NEGATIVE MISPRISION RACKETEERING
PATTERN FRAUDULENT CONCERTMENT. TO THE FBI AS
TO NOT GIVE THE APPEARANCE BY ROYALTY JUDGES; OF
NONFEASANCE IMPLICATION NEGATIVE ACTS FORTIA
FORBEARANCE INFERENCE COMPLICITY SUASPONTE
MOTION ORDERED MISCONTINUANCE PREDICATED ACTS.

ASNOT TO CRIMINALLY IMPINEE ON PROSE

CLAIMANTS COMPULSORY LICENSE & ALL OTHER LICENSES

VESTED RIGHTS. DUE TO BIMI INC. ASCARSEAC., AND

OTHER SETILING PARTLES CONCERTED ACTIONS

FORTLA FORCED USED AIDING & ABETTING ACCESSORY TO

MISCONTINUANCE ORDERED. TO THIS LEAD ROYALTY JUDGES

TOWARDS UNLAWFUL CONDITIONS & UNREASONABLE

DECISIONS RETILATORY WILL FUL PATTERN MISCONDUCT.

IN THIS AND ALL OTHER PROCEEDING DENYING PROSE

CLAIMANT (5) EXIGENT MONEY DEMAND ROYALTY PAYMENTS.

BASED ON LEGAL CLARMED GROUNDS SUBSTANTIATED
TRUTH ESTABLISHED REPEATED LEGAL PREJUDICE
SHOWNED TO BLACKLIST & BOYCOM CLAIMANTS. A
PRODATIVE VALUE IS SUBSTANTIALLY OUT WEIGHED BY THE
DANGER OF UNFAIR PREJUDICE TOWARDS MR. POWELL & CENTING.
BY SETTLING PARTIES CONVIVANCE & JUDIGES DISCRETION
MISLEAD TOWARDS FORBEARANCE CONNIVE STILL EXISTED. PRO
SE OMNIBUS OBJECTION MOTION OR SUASPONTE ORDERED
IN-LIMINE IN NUBIBUS ZONE OF INTEREST STANDING &
THIRD PARTY UNDER THE PROTECTION OF THE LAW. TO
INCLUDE PREEXISTING SUBSCRIPTION SERVICE.

CONCLUSIVE STATUTORY PRESUMPTION NONFERSANCE
HONDISCLOSURE IS PREEXISTING ADMINISTRATIVE
ANGENCY ROYALTY JUDGES IS DUTY BOUND TO CURED. A
SUGGESTION OF ERROR ASSERTED COMPULSORY
COUNTERCLAIM SUBMISSION TO A SPECIAL FINDING OF
FACTS. PERVASIVE MALICIOUS ACTS CAUSATION STILL
EXIST MEANS, MOTIVE, AND OPPURTUNITY. THUS A REASONABLE
COUNTERMAND TO VACATE VERIFIED MOTION IN THIS
STATUS REPORT GIVEN. BECAUSE OF BMILTIC, SEAC,
ASCAP FOTHER SETLEING PARTIES AS COCONSPIRATORS
UNDUE INFLUENCES, POWER, REFUSAL TO DEAL, BOYCOTT,
AND BLACKLISTED CLARMANT (S). IN THEIR NOTICE OF
SETLEMENT, STATUS REPORTS JOINT PROPOSAL DATED TO.
8.2016 DURING YOLUNTARY NEGOTIATION PERIODS.

PLSO TO INCLUDE OTHER RELATED PROCEEDINGS KNOWN AND UNKNOWN. SUCH AS 2011-2 CRB NCEB, 2011-2 CRB NCEB, 2011-2 CRB NCEB, 16-CRB-0010-5D NRT 5R (COIFA) 2013, 16-CRB-0010-5D (2014), 16-CRB-0001-5R 1P55R (2018-2022, 16-CRB-0003-PR (2018-2022). INESCAPABLE PERIL TO SETTLE UP, ESP. SOUND EXCHANGE INC, BMI INC, ASCAP, SEAC, EXCLUSION TO HAVE NO SIGNIFICANT INTEREST IS BASELESS, WID TIERIT, & MOOT. A DECLARATION IN CONTROVERSY EXIST.

THE SE COUNTER AFFIDAVIT DECLARATION WEHTER ENCUMBRANCER WICLAIM OF RECOGNIZANCE. SUA SPONTE MOTION CLAIM OF RELIEF REDRESS FOR MULTIPLE DEPENDENT CLAIMS ON RECORD. EXIBENT MONEY DEMAND SUA SPONTE MOTION ORDERED FOR ROYALTY, FEES, & BONUS 2018-2022, 2016-2020, E ARREAS 2000-CURRENTLY CLAIM & DELIVERY. FROM BITH INC. ASCAP, SEACY, SOUND EXCHANGE 200 BILLION FROM EACH, THIRD BRITYSTANDING SETTLING BARTIES 100 BILLION FROM EACH, THIRD BRITYSTANDING 200 BILLION EACH, THORY FOR RELIEF WAN ADDITIONAL SPECIAL GENERAL PRAYER FOR RELIEF WAN ADDITIONAL SPECIAL

AddITIONAL SUPPLEMENTAL APPERTENANCE CABLE SATELLITE IN ALL PAST, CURRENT, FUTURE PROCEEDINGS. A COUNTERAFFIDAVIT SIGNIFICANT INTEREST VALIDCLAIM FOR MR. POWELL & CIRCLE BOD NETWORKING DECLARATION IN CONTROVERSY. BASED ON GROWNDS BAD MOTIVES CRIMINAL INTENT COPONSPIRATORS SETTING PARTIES (EXH. A) LIST. JOINT TRESPASS, ACCOMPLICE LIABILITY, CHAINS SEDITIOUS CONSPIRACY PREDICATED ACTS IN VOLUNTARY NEGOTIATED PERIOD. THRU SUBVERSIVE ACTIVITY COMPLICITY ALLIED OFFENSES SUBTERFUEE CLEVER PLAN PATTERN NECESSARY IMPLICATION WA SECRET UNDERHANDED PERVASIVE UNLAWFUL CONDITIONS. TO MISLEAD ROYALTY JUDGES TOWARDS (FORTIA ACCESSORY FORCE ATT & ABETUNLAWFUL CONDITIONS. TO ALLOW SETTLING PARTIES TO COMMIT THE CRIMES. FOR WHICH ROYALTY JUDGES ARE NOW AWARE OF (EXHIBIT A) POSITIVE MISPRISION OF FELONY & DUTY BOUND TO CURED. A SUA SPONTE MOTION BYCLAIMANT PROSE UNREASONABLE DECISION ADMINISTRATIVE COLLATERAL ESTOPPEL JUDICIAL EQUITABLE ESTOPPEL BY NEGLIGENCE OMNIBUS MOTIONS. A SUGGESTION OF (CRIMINAL) ERRORS COMPULSORY COUNTERCLAIM

ONGOING AFFIRMATIVE MUSCONDUCT PATTERN PROVED UP.

BASED ON GROUNDS SETTLING PARTIES, CLASS-BASED ANIMUS
SHOWNED COPYRIGHT WILLFUL INFRINGEMENT ILLEGAL PERSE
ACTS OF NEGLIEVAT JOHNT QUITS! OFFENSES. TO SETTLE LIP
ROYALTY PAYMENTS WICLAIMANT(S). THRU MALICE MALICIOUS
ACTS UNDUE INFINENCES, POWER, REFUSAL TO DEAL, BLACKLISTED
AND BOYCOTED CLAUMANT(S) WIFCC VIOL PATIONS 18 USCA
CHAPTER 119 SECT 2510-2522 INESCAPABLE PERIL.
A CLAIM OFRECOGNIZANCE INITIATED & CONTROVERTED.

ASSERTED WICOUNTERMAND TO VACATE PREVIOUS ORDERS. AN

SETTLING PARTIES PERVASIVE LEGAL PREJUDICE
PATERY SHOWN ESP. JOINT SPORTS CLAIMANTS.
THOUTON PICTURE ASSOCIATION OF AMERICA INC.. THRU
UNDUE PERSUASION CLANDESTINE THEANS INTIMIDATION
CONCERTED ACTIONS. TO CONTINUELY DENY CLAIMANTS
COMPULSORY, BLANKET LICENSES, & MEDIA ROYALTY
PAYMENTS. FOR SETTLING PATTERN PARTIES NEGLIBENT
IMMEDIATE BREACH JOINT QUASI OFFENSES. ACTIVE
FRAUDULENT CONCEALMENT. REPEATED BAD MOTIVES
THETUS MORAL & ECONOMIC DURESS CRIMINAL INTENT
AGAINST VICTUM CLAIMANT (S) PHASE I & II ONGOING.

BASED ON CAUSATION EXISTED SETLING PARTIES

PURE ESTATUTORY O'DLIGHTIONS CABLE ESATELLITE

PERVASIVE PREDICATED PATERN CAHOOTS CONSPIRACY.

TO INCLUDE ALLEANY SUCCESSORS AFFILIATES PATERN

MEANS, MOTIVE, E OPPORTUNITY AS ABOVE RETILATORY CONDUCT.

CLAIMANT(S) ENCHMBRANCER LEGAL CLAIM PROVED UP A
STATUTORY CONCLUSIVE REBUTTLE PRESUMPTION OF A PRIMA
FACIE CASE VESTED RIGHTS. TO INCLUDE SUBSCRIPTION &
NONSCRIPTION PREEXISTING SVCS. MOTION FOR A FORMAL
HEARING STUFF & 351.3(a) ABOVE 10,000 OR MORE & ALT
NOTICE OF APPEAL 5 USCA 5556(e) IN ALL PROCEEDINGS IN THIS LTR.

DECLARATION IN CHIEF PRO SEA VERIFIED SUA SPONTE.

MIOTION CLAIM OF RELIEF REDRESS EX PARTE AND FOR

MULTIPLE DEPENDENT CLAIMS ON RECORD. FOR ROYALTY,

FEES, BONUS, ETITLE 18 CHAPTER 119 SECTION 2518-2522 CLAIM

EDELIVERY IN ALLEANY PROCEEDS IN THIS LTR. EXIGENT MONEY

DEMANDIN ARREAR STURRENTLY, EFUTURE SUASPONTE MOTION

EXPARTE ORDERED. FROM THE 8 SETTLING PARTIES 300 BILLION

EXPARTE ORDERED. FROM THE 8 SETTLING PARTIES 300 BILLION

EXCH, STANDING THIRD PARTY SO BILLIONEACH. THORYER FOR

RELIEF WIAN ADDITIONAL SPECIAL GENERAL PRAYER FOR RELIEF

ATTENHX3 PG 1-7 ENCLOSET)

FR. DAVID POWELL David Powell, PROSE PO.BOX 010 950 CC! TCOPIES ENCLOSED 17h Am 197-133101 305539-1755

(E) COPYRIGHT 2016 EXCLUSIVE RIGHTS LICENSE

INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY LICENSE

COMPULSORY LICENSES

> CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE EX-PARTE TO: COPYRIGHT ROYALTY JUDGES & PUT ON LINE FOR ALL SETTLING PARTIES VIEWING. PRO SE HEREBY CERTIFY EXPRESSED MAILON7.25.16 SENT

@ COPKIGHT 2016

FR: DAVID POWELL DOWNELL PROSE

- Fore cannot be ba-

tates" were ins ection 2421 of the

eology.

I

c. (b), was remu ib. L. 103_322, this Stat. 1972

c. (b) and directed , substituting "(a) MGAGE IN CREEKTRAL for "(a) Whose . ie substitu**ties fe**r intent of Congre ction generally. reaph provisions forstriking out subsec.

"Transportation of it of minor female cisting provision relating to com minors for proviing the coercion or 1 added subsec. (b):

Zone, see section 14

HER SECTIONS tions 14, 1961 of this

out alien individual

ins, controls, supe or place for the or any other imindividual within idual has entered country, party to e traffic, shall file Immigration and in writing setting en individual, the al is kept, and all individual's entry ort through which t individual's age. nd concerning that me to this country t person; and

ty days after comcontrol, support, or for the purpose of r immoral purpose. three years after the United States the said arrange of the white-slave nt concerning such ommissioner of im-

a; or illfully states (also statement any fact edge or belief with

1 2 2016

Public II

reference to the age, nationality, or parentage of any such alien individual, or concerning that individual's procuration to come to this coun-

Shall be fined under this title or imprisoned

not more than two years, or both.

(b) In any prosecution brought under this ection, if it appears that any such statement required is not on file in the office of the Commissioner of Immigration and Naturalization, the person whose duty it is to file such statement shall be presumed to have falled to file said statement, unless such person or persons shall prove otherwise. No person shall be excused from furnishing the statement, as reguired by this section, on the ground or for the reason that the statement so required by that person, or the information therein contained, might tend to criminate that person or subject that person to a penalty or forfeiture, but no information contained in the statement or any evidence which is directly or indirectly derived OCHAPTER 119-WIRE AND ELECTRONIC COMfrom such information may be used against any person making such statement in any criminal case, except a prosecution for perjury, giving a false statement or otherwise failing to comply with this section.

(June 25, 1948, ch. 645, 62 Stat. 813; Oct. 15, 1970, Pub. L. 91-452, title II, § 226, 84 Stat. 930; Nov. 7, 1986, Pub. L. 99-628, \$5(c), 100 Stat. 3511; Sept. 13, 1994, Pub. L. 103-322, title XXXIII, § 330016(1)(I), 108 Stat. 2147.)

HISTORICAL AND REVISION NOTES

Based on title 18. U.S.C., 1940 ed., § 402(2), (3) (June

25, 1910, ch. 395, § 6, 36 Stat. 826). First paragraph of section 402 of title 18, U.S.C., 1940 ed., was omitted from this section and recom-

mended for transfer to Title 8. Aliens and Nationality. Words "shall be deemed guilty of a misdemeanor were omitted as unnecessary in view of the definition of a misdemeanor in section 1 of this title. (See reviser's note under section 212 of this title.)

Minor changes were made in phraseology.

2521. 1994—Subsec. (a). Pub. L. 103-322 substituted "fined under this title" for "fined not more than \$2,000" in

last par. 1986-Pub. L. 99-628. § 5(c)(1), substituted "individ-

ual" for "female" in section catchline.

Subsec. (a). Pub. L. 99-628, § 5(c)(2)-(4). (6), substituted "individual" for "woman or girl", "that individual" for "she", "that individual's" for "her", and "that ual" for "she", "that individual's" for " person's" for "his" wherever appearing.

Subsec. (b). Pub. L. 99-628, \$5(c)(5), substituted that person." for "him" wherever appearing.

1970—Subsec. (b). Pub. L. 91-452 substituted provisions that no information contained in the statement or any evidence directly or indirectly derived from such information be used against any person making such statement in any criminal case, except a prosecu-tion for perjury, giving a false statement, or otherwise failing to comply with this section, for provisions that so person be prosecuted or subjected to any penalty or forfeiture under any law of the United States for or on account of any transaction, etc., truthfully reported in his statement.

EFFECTIVE DATE OF 1970 AMENDMENT

Amendment by Pub. L. 91-452 effective on sixtleth tay following Oct. 15, 1970, and not to affect any imnunity to which any individual is entitled under this ection by reason of any testimony given before sixti-th day following Oct. 15, 1970, see section 260 of Pub.

L 91-452, set out as an Effective Date: Savings Provision note under section 6001 of this title

CAHAL ZONE

Applicability of section to Canal Zone, see section 14 of this title.

CROSS REFERENCES

Importation of aliens for immoral purposes as forbidden, see section 1328 of Title 8, Aliens and Nation-

International agreement signed May 18, 1904, for the suppression of the white-slave traffic is set forth, with the adherence of the United States thereto, in 35 Stat. 1979.

Prevention of transportation in foreign commerce of alien women and girls under international agreement. see section 1557 of Title 8, Aliens and Nationality.

SECTION REFERENCE TO IN OTHER SECTIONS

This section is referred to in sections 14, 1961 of this title. BIG

MUNICATIONS INTERCEPTION AND INTER-CEPTION OF ORAL COMMUNICATIONS

2510. Definitions. Interception and disclosure of wire, oral, or 2511. electronic communications prohibited.

Manufacture, distribution, possession, and

2512. advertising of wire, oral, or electronic communication intercepting devices prohibited. Confiscation of wire, oral, or electronic com-

2513. munication intercepting devices. Repealed.1 [2514.

Prohibition of use as evidence of intercepted 2515. wire or oral communications.

Authorization for interception of wire, oral, 2516. or electronic communications. Authorization for disclosure and use of inter-

2517. cepted wire, oral, or electronic communications. Procedure for interception of wire, oral, or 2518.

electronic communications. Reports concerning intercepted wire, oral, or 2519. electronic communications.

Recovery of civil damages authorized. 60 27 - 2520.

Injunction against illeral interception. Enforcement of the Communications Assistance for Law Enforcement Act.

AMENDMENTS

1994-Pub. L. 103-414, title II, § 201(b)(3), Oct. 25. 1994, 108 Stat. 4290, added item 2522

1988.—Pub. L. 100-899. title VII. § 7035. Nov. 18, 1988. 192 Stat. 4398, substituted "wire, oral, or electronic" for "wire or oral" in items 2511, 2512, 2513, 2516, 2517. 2518, and 2519.

1986—Pub. L. 99-508, title I. §§ 101(cx2), 110(b), Oct. 21, 1986, 100 Stat. 1851, 1859, inserted "AND ELECTRONIC COMMUNICATIONS" in chapter heading

and added item 2521. 1970—Pub. L. 91-452, title II, § 227(b), Oct. 15, 1970, 84 Stat. 930, struck out item 2514 "Immunity of wit-nesses", which section was repealed four years follow-

ing the sixtieth day after Oct. 15, 1970.

1968—Pub. L. 90-351, title III, § 802. June 19, 1968,

32 Stat. 212, added chapter 119 and items 2510 to 2520.

CHAPTER REFERRED TO UN OTHER SECTIONS

This chapter is referred to in sections 1029, 2232 of this title; title 47 section 605; title 50 section 1805.

§ 2510. Definitions

2522.

As used in this chapter-

Porcible entry into railway or scenmous Issuance of money oruers were see section 2116 of this title. 1713. Mail fraud, see sections 1341, 1342 of this title. Repealed Firearms as nonmailable; regulations. 11714. injunique articles as nonmailable. 1715. 1716.

PG10f7 EXHIBIT A

WAY / 7 2016

>

EXHIBIT B

Petitions to participate in Phase II

Petitioner

Billy Graham Evangelistic Association

202 686 2887

Certain Devotional Claimants

202 663 8000

David Powell **305 539-** 1755

Joint Sports Claimants

202 942 5000

Motion Picture Association of America,

Inc. 202 355 7917

National Association of Broadcasters

202 624 2685

Word of God Fellowship dba Daystar Television Network

214769 4699

Worldwide Subsidy Group LLC dba Independent Producers Group

213 624 1996

Counsel

Edward S. Hammerman

Hammerman PLLC dba Intermediary Copyright Royalty Services

5335 Wisconsin Avenue NW, #440 Washington, DC 20015-2054

Clifford M. Harrington Matthew J. MacLean

Pillsbury Winthrop Shaw Pittman LLP

P.O. Box 57197

Washington, DC 20036-9997

David Powell, pro se P.O. Box 010950 Miami, FL 33101

Robert Alan Garrett³
Stephen K. Marsh
Arnold & Porter LLP
555 Twelfth Street NW
Washington, DC 20004-1206

Gregory Olaniran Lucy Plovnick Mitchell Silberberg & Knupp LLP 1818 N Street NW, 8th Floor Washington, DC 20036

John I. Stewart, Jr.
Jennifer H. Burdman
Crowell & Moring LLP
1001 Pennsylvania Avenue NW
Washington, D.C. 20004

Gregory H. Guillot Gregory H. Guillot, P.C. 13455 Noel Road, #1000 Dallas, TX 75240

Brian D. Boydston Pick & Boydston, LLP 10786 LeConte Ave. Los Angeles, CA 90024

HOME SHOPPING INC. 202 408 7600
ARNOLD PLUTZKER
LUTZKER & LUTZKER LLP
Distribution ()
1223 205T, NW
PG 2

Distribution Case Scheduling Order - 5

³ Co-counsel: Philip R. Hochberg, Potomac, MD; Ritchie T. Thomas, Squire, Sanders & Dempsey LLP, Washington, DC. Of Counsel: Thomas J. Ostertag, Office of the Commissioner of Baseball, New York.



United States Copyright Royalty Board

CRB USE ONLY

Single Claim for Cable Retransmission Royalty Fees Deposited for 2015

IMPORTANT: In order for your claim to be effective, you must file this claim form during July 2016 or on August 1, 2016. You must use this form only. You must provide all of the information requested in each item on this form.

CLAIM: The copyright owner claimant named herein files with the Copyright Royalty Board a claim to royalty payments collected from cable television systems retransmitting copyrighted programming contained on over-the-air television and radio broadcast signals. This claim to royalties is for fees collected from cable television systems during calendar year 2015. The claimant files this claim in accordance with section 111 of the Copyright Act (17 *USC* 111) and with subpart A of Part 360 of the Copyright Royalty Board regulations (37 *CFR* 360.1-360.5).

	claim: _ 305 539-1755
	g the claim:
Email address, if any, of the person or entity filing th	e claim:
	DDRESS: Provide full legal name and address (including specific of subsidiaries, parent companies, etc., if they are not the copyright owns
Check here if the copyright owner is the same per	son or entity identified in paragraph 1 as filing the claim.
NOTE: Performing rights organizations do not have	to list the names of their members and affiliates.
*	
CONTACT PERSON: Include name, phone, fax, if	fany, and email, if any:
DAYID POWELL	
305 539-1755	

LIVE STREAMING

NO SALE AUTHORIZEING PERMISSION WILL FUL INFRINGEMENT TO THEIR SUBSCRIBERS LIST IPR PERFORMANCE RIGHTS SERVITUDE & SERIAL RIGHTS.



United States Copyright Royalty Board

4 SERIAL RIGHTS.

CRB USE ONLY

Single Claim for Satellite Retransmission Royalty Fees Deposited for 2015

IMPORTANT: In order for your claim to be effective, you must file this claim form during July 2016 or on August 1, 2016. You must use this form only. You must provide all of the information requested in each item on this form.

CLAIM: The copyright owner claimant named herein files with the Copyright Royalty Board a claim to royalty payments collected from satellite carriers retransmitting copyrighted programming contained on over-the-air television broadcast signals. This claim to royalties is for fees collected from satellite carriers during calendar year 2015. The claimant files this claim in accordance with section 119 of the Copyright Act (17 *USC* 119) and with subpart B of Part 360 of the Copyright Royalty Board regulations (37 *CFR* 360.10-360.15).

FILER'S FULL NAME AND ADDRESS: Provide full legal name and address (including specific number, street, and

Τє	elephone number of the person or entity filing the claim: 305539-1755
	acsimile number, if any, of the person or entity filing the claim:
	mail address, if any, of the person or entity filing the claim:
'n	OPYRIGHT OWNER'S FULL NAME AND ADDRESS: Provide full legal name and address (including specifiumber, street, and zip code). Do not include names of subsidiaries, parent companies, etc., if they are not the copyright own ntitled to royalties.
V	Check here if the copyright owner is the same person or entity identified in paragraph 1 as filing the claim.
N	OTE: Performing rights organizations do not have to list the names of their members and affiliates.
-	
c	ONTACT PERSON: Include name, phone, fax, if any, and email, if any: DAVID POWELL
c -	
-	DAVID POWELL

OR LICENSING HOSALE AUTHORIZEING PERMISSION WILLFUL INFRINGEMENT TO THEIR SUBSCRIBERS LIST IPR. PERFORMANCE RIGHTS SERVITUME Dear Publisher:

Your application to participate in the EPCN program for

Pubname: "david c. powell jr."
was successfully transmitted to the Library of Congress

CONTINUOUS ACTION CASENO. REQUEST

8.7.00

Approved by OMB 3060-0874

Estimated time per response: 15 minutes

Federal Communications Commission Washington, DC 20554

FCC Form 475B
Obscene, Profane, and/or Indecent Material Complaint Form

M

F

S

LIBRARY OF CONGRESS

Copyright Royalty Board

[Docket Nos. 2007-3 CRS CD 2004-2005, 2008-4 CRS CD 2006, 2009-6 CRS CD 2007, 2010-6 CRB CD 2006, 2011-7 CRB 2009; 2010-2 CRB SD 2004-2007, 2010-7 CRB 2008, 2011-8 CRB SD 2009]

Distribution of the 2004–2009 Cable and Satellite Royalty Funds

AGENCY: Copyright Royalty Board, Library of Congress.

ACTION: Notice requesting comments on Phase I and II controversies and soliciting objections on motions for further distribution.

SUMMARY: The Copyright Royalty Judges are soliciting objections on motions of the Phase I claimants for further distributions in connection with the 2004-2009 cable and satellite royalty funds as well as requesting comments as to the existence of Phase I and Phase II controversies with respect to the distribution of these royalty funds.

DATES: Comments and objections are due on or before January 26, 2012.

ADDRESSES: Comments and objections may be sent electronically to crb@loc.gov. In the alternative, send an original, five copies, and an electronic copy on a CD either by mail or hand delivery. Please do not use multiple means of transmission. Comments and objections may not be delivered by an overnight delivery service other than the Notices of Phase I Settlement and U.S. Postal Service Express Mail. If by mail (including overnight delivery), comments and objections must be addressed to: Copyright Royalty Board, P.O. Box 70977, Washington, DC 20024-0977. If hand delivered by a private party, comments and objections must be brought to the Library of Congress, James Madison Memorial Building, LM- • 401, 101 Independence Avenue SE., Washington, DC 20559-6000. If delivered by a commercial courier, comments and objections must be delivered to the Congressional Courier Acceptance Site located at 2nd and D Street, NE., Washington, DC. The envelope must be addressed to: Copyright Royalty Board, Library of Congress, James Madison Memorial Building, LM-403, 101 Independence Avenue SE., Washington, DC 20559-

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

LaKeshia Keys, Program Specialist, by telephone at (202) 707-7658 or by email at crb@loc.gov.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Background

Each year cable systems and satellite carriers must submit royalty payments to the Copyright Office as required by the statutory licenses set forth in sections 111 and 119, respectively, of the Copyright Act, title 17 of the United States Code, for the retransmission to cable and satellite subscribers of overthe-air television broadcast stations (cable subscribers also receive radio broadcast signals). These royalties are then distributed to copyright owners whose works were included in such a qualifying transmission and who timely filed a claim for royalties. Distribution of the royalties for each calendar year is conducted by the Copyright Royalty Judges in two phases. At Phase I, the royalties are divided among the representatives of the major categories of copyrightable content (movies, sports programming, music, etc.) requesting the distribution. At Phase II, the royalties are divided among the various

copyright owners within each category.

Distribution of royalties in any given royalty year may be made through a negotiated settlement among the parties. 17 U.S.C. 111(d)(4)(A), 119(b)(4)(A) Mf, however, the claimants do not reach an agreement with respect to the proper distribution of the royalties, either at Phase I or Phase II, the Copyright Royalty Judges are required to conduct a proceeding to determine the

distribution of any royalties that remain in controversy. 17 U.S.C. 111(d)(4)(B), ✔ **√**119(b)(4)(B).

Motions for Further Distribution

On November 4, 2011, representatives of the Phase I claimant categories (the "Phase I Parties") 1 filed with the Judges separate "Phase I Parties' Notice of Phase I Settlement and Motion for Further Distribution" 2 with respect to

'The "Phase I Parties" with regard to the motion for cable royalties are the Program Suppliers, Joint Sports Claimants, Public Television Claimants, Commercial Television Claimants, Canadian Claimants Group, Music Claimants (American Society of Composers, Authors and Publishers; Broadcast Music, Inc.: and SESAC, Inc.), Devotional Claimants, and National Public Radio.

Public Television Claimants, Canadian Claimants Group, and National Public Radio are not signatories to the motion for distribution of satellite

royalties as they are not eligible to receive these royalties. The further distributions are requested pursuant to section 801(b)(3)(A) of the Copyright Act, which allows the judges to authorize the distribution of cable and satellite royalties "to the extent that the Copyright Royalty Judges have found that the distribution of such fees is not subject to controversy." 17 U.S.C. 801(b)(3)(A). Prior partial distributions of 50% of the cable and satellite coyalties have been made for each of the years 2004—2009 pursuant to section 801(b)(3)(C). See Distribution Orders cited infra.

the 2004–2009 cable and satellite royalty funds. Specifically, the Phase I 🛡 🙃 Parties stated that they had reached a global "settlement of all outstanding Phase I controversies regarding Na distribution of the 2004-2009" cable and satellite royalty funds. Motions at 2. & Consequently, they requested that the Judges: (1) Reserve specified amounts (\$20 million of the cable royalties and ▶\$13 million of the satellite royalties)to be divided equally among each of the six years—to satisfy previously identified Phase II controversies and (2) authorize the lump-sum distribution of all remaining 2004–2009 cable and satellite royalties to the Phase I Parties through a common agent. Id. The 6 reserve amounts 3 would be allocated among three categories in which Phase Il controversies have been identified previously. With regard to the cable royalties, the reserve amounts would be: \$3 million for the Program Suppliers category; \$1 million for the Joint Sports category; and \$16 million for the Devotional category. Cable Motion at 4. ● ✔ Similarly, the reserve amounts for the satellite royalties would be: \$3 million for the Program Suppliers category; \$1 million for the Joint Sports category: and \$9 million for the Devotional category. Satellite Motion at 4. •

The Independent Producers Group "IPG") opposes both of the Phase I Parties' motions. IPG, which has asserted Phase II claims in each of the categories for which reserve amounts are proposed, bases its opposition, in part, on its concerns that the proposed reserve amounts are inadequate to resolve outstanding Phase II claims. See IPG Opposition at 4-5.

On December 14, 2011, the Judges held a hearing on the Phase I Parties' motions, where the Phase I Parties and IPG reiterated their respective positions. In light of IPG's continued opposition to the Phase I Parties' motions and to determine whether any other controversies with respect to the 2004 through 2009 cable and satellite royalty funds may be outstanding, the Judges are directing publication of this notice. In particular, the Judges solicit comments to determine whether there are any controversies, either at Phase I or Phase II, with respect to each of the royalty funds that are the subject of the motions (i.e., cable or satellite 2004 through 2009).

アらて

SATELLITE \$ 540 metal 100%

³ As of October 27, 2011, the remaining 50% for the 2004-2009 cable royalties amounted to approximately \$462 million and the remaining 50% for the 2004–2009 satellite coyalties amounted to approximately \$270 million.